SEP 23 2003

WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.

2033 "K" Street N.W., Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20006

Telephone: (202)721-8200 Facsimile: (202)721-8250

FAX TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET

To:

Examiner Junghwa M. IM

Fax Number: (571) 273-8300

From:

Joseph M. Gorski

Date:

September 28, 2005

Re:

U.S. Application Serial No. 10/623,655/ Tomoji HAMADA/ 2003_0996A

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES TRANSMITTED, INCLUDING COVER SHEET: 4

Message: Please find provided herewith a copy of a Response filed on August 25, 2005, along with a PTO date-stamped postal receipt card. If you have any questions, please contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed above.

Inanks

Joseph M. Gorski

CONFIDENTIALITY

The documents unagmitted berewith contain confidential and/or privileged information intended only for the use of the person or entity to whom addressed. If you are not the incended recipient, or an agent of the recipient responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, then you have reserved this transmission in error and are asked to promptly advise us by telephone or fire, and return the document to us by mail. Unauthorized copying, distribution, disclosure or other use of this information by anyone other than the intended recipient or their designer is graphibited.

IF THERE ARE ANY PROBLEMS WITH THIS TRANSMISSION OR IF YOU HAVE NOT RECEIVED ALL OF THE PAGES PLEASE CALL(202) 721-8200

Fax Operator: E. Ginn

Due Date: August 25, 2005

Filing Date: July 22

Attorney: JMG/edg

Check No. 64856

SEP 2 8 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

MAIL STOP: AF

Tomoji HAMADA

Confirmation No. 4745

Serial No. 10/623,655

Docket No. 2003 0996A

Filed July 22, 2003

Group Art Unit 2811

SEMICONDUCTOR APPARATUS

Examiner J. M. IM

SECOND REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

THE COMMISSIONER IS AUTHORIZED O CHARGE ANY DEFICIENCY IN THE SES FOR THIS PAPER TO DEPOSIT ACCOUNT NO. 28-0975

Sir:

Responsive to the Final Office Action mailed March 25, 2005, and the Advisory Action mailed July 18, 2005, the time for responding thereto being extended for two months in accordance with a Petition for Extension submitted herewith, please consider the following remarks.

In the Advisory Action mailed July 18, 2005, the Examiner has taken the position that the plural electrodes required by claim 1 are taught by Hung et al., and accordingly, the 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection is maintained. Specifically, the Examiner expressed that conductive layer 216 of Hung et al. is shown in Figure 3 to be separated by a portion 234, which separates conductive layer 216 into two segments, one on the left hand side and one on the right hand side of Figure 3. Each of these segments is said to correspond to one electrode, whereby Hung et al. discloses the plural electrodes as required by claim 1. This position is respectfully traversed for the following reasons.

Waveguide structure 234 is merely an opening extending through the conductive layers 210-216 and dielectric layers 222-226. This is believed to be clear from the manner by which the waveguide structure is created as described in the paragraph bridging columns 4 and 5 of Hung et al. Specifically, the waveguide structure is said to be created by "punching" out the dielectric layers 222-226. Thus, the waveguide structure 234 does not extend across an entirety of any of the conductive layers, and accordingly, conductive layer 216 is not separated into two distinct segments each serving

as an electrode. Rather, conductive layer 216 remains a single layer, albeit one having an opening therethrough, and accordingly, while this conductive layer can arguably be said to correspond to a single electrode, it is respectfully submitted that this layer cannot reasonably be said to correspond to plural electrodes.

Furthermore, Figures 2 and 3 are cross sectional views of the circuit package shown in Figure 1. Accordingly, if waveguide structure 234 cut completely across conductive layer 216 so as to divide this layer into plural electrodes, then Figure 2 would not show conductive layer 216 to be continuous from the left hand side to the right hand side of this figure.

Thus, because Hung et al. does not teach or suggest the electrodes as required by claim 1, claim 1 is not anticipated by Hung et al. Karnezos does not resolve this deficiency of Hung et al., and accordingly, claim 1 is also not obvious over a combination of Hung et al. and Karnezos.

Accordingly, claims 1-19 are allowable.

In view of the above remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance, and an early Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

If after reviewing these remarks, the Examiner believes that any issues remain which must be resolved before the application can be passed to issue, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicant's undersigned representative by telephone to resolve such issues.

Respectfully submitted,

Tomoji HAMADA

Joseph M. Gorski

Registration No. 46,500 Attorney for Applicant

JMG/edg Washington, D.C. 20006-1021 Telephone (202) 721-8200 Facsimile (202) 721-8250 August 25, 2005